

Lieutenant Governor

Department of Environmental Quality

Kimberly D. Shelley Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY John K. Mackey, P.E. Director Water Quality Board
Steven K. Earley, Chair
James Webb, Vice Chair
Carly Castle
Brandon Gordon
Michela Harris
Joseph Havasi
Trevor Heaton
Michael D. Luers
Kimberly D. Shelley
John K. Mackey
Executive Secretary

MEMORANDUM

TO: Utah Water Quality Board

THROUGH: John K. Mackey, PE

FROM: Engineering Section

DATE: September 22, 2022

SUBJECT: Finance Committee Meeting – FY 2023 Funding Requests

BACKGROUND

Over the past few years the WQ Board has been cautious and responsible with fund balances by retaining reserve funds. However, due to the new incoming BIL funding and the increased requirements that will be placed upon these reserves, some of the past reserves were allocated in previous Board meetings to supplement projects that had seen increases in costs and to avoid potential additional requirements that would be associated with BIL funding. This has essentially left the funds at the incoming funding levels and a smaller reserve.

EQUIVALENCY REQUIREMENTS

Equivalency requirements are currently Build America, Buy America (BABA), American Iron and Steel (AIS), Davis-Bacon wages, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) and Environmental Review sometimes internally referred to as First Round Funding. As part of the award of the Capitalization Grant and General Supplemental Grants from EPA the CWSRF program must award projects with Equivalency requirements. In general equivalency requirements are expected to be fulfilled within two years of the grant award. These projects must close their funding during the fiscal year of the grant and projects may be used to fulfill previous years equivalency requirements but cannot be utilized for future years.

Page 2 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting FY 2023 Funding Requests

Table 1: Equivalency Need (in millions)

Fiscal Year of Grant	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
Capitalization Grant	\$0.0	\$6.0	\$6.0	\$6.0	\$6.0
General Supplemental	\$9.4	\$10.3	\$11.2	\$12.2	\$12.2
Annual Equivalency Need	\$9.4	\$16.3	\$17.2	\$18.2	\$18.2
Cumulative Equivalency Need	\$9.4	\$25.7	\$33.5	\$37.2	\$55.4

Projects funding during the January 2022 Board meeting have fulfilled our Capitalization Grant requirements for FY22. The FY22 General Supplemental Grant needs projects funded with equivalency requirements. Based on these needs staff recommends attempting to issue 9.4 million in funding with equivalency requirements.

AVAILABLE BALANCES EVALUATION

Attachment 1 to this memorandum is a current Financial Report showing available fund balances. Balances can be brought up from Utah Wastewater Loan Fund to projects funded under CWSRF requirements. Thus, staff will focus on the Total Available Loan Funds balances. Due to recent Congressionally Directed Spending Requests (AKA Earmarks) staff recommends not awarding future capitalization grants until they are received. In addition, recently the Board has held back an annual reserve of \$5 million in Utah Wastewater Loan Funding for potential emergency projects. These recommendations are represented as subtraction of \$6 million and \$5 million annually.

Table 2: Available Loan Fund Balances

Funding Fiscal Year	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Total Available Loan Funds	\$13.3	\$19.5	\$48.8	\$90.6	\$131
Future Capitalization Grants (cumulative at \$6/year)		-\$6	-\$12	-\$18	-\$24
Reserve (cumulative at \$5/year)		-\$5	-\$10	-\$15	-\$20
Available	\$13.3	\$8.5	\$26.8	\$57.6	\$87

Page 3 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting FY 2023 Funding Requests

Utilizing these assumptions, **staff recommends the Board consider authorization of \$8.5 to \$9.7 million in funding during FY23.** Following this recommendation will leave \$9.8-\$11 million in funding available in June 2023.

AVAILABLE PRINCIPAL FORGIVENESS BALANCES EVALUATION

As part of the Attachment I Financial Report is an estimation of unobligated balances for Additional Subsidization (AKA Principal Forgiveness). These are available balances the Board can award principal forgiveness to projects which will result in user fees greater than the State Affordability Criteria. The available principal forgiveness remaining balances is an estimate and could be as low as \$4.0 or as high as \$6.5 in FY24 (depending on the Cap Grant). **Staff recommends the Board award up to \$2.9 million in principal forgiveness.** This funding would be part of the \$8.5-\$9.7 million previously recommended and not in addition to.

Table 3: Summary of Principal Forgiveness Balances

Funding Fiscal Year	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27
Principal Forgiveness Remain Balance	\$3.0	\$4.6	\$10.7	\$17.3	\$23.8

RECOMMENDED PROJECTS FOR WATER QUALITY BOARD CONSIDERATION

In June 2022, the Board received applications with the requested funding of nearly \$70 Million. As there are not sufficient funds to provide the funding requested, it is necessary to bring the requests to the Finance Committee for review. Staff has a brief summary of each project in Enclosure 1 and a cost model for each project as Attachments 3 through 9. Below Table 4 is showing a summary of Funding Requests. Historically, when the Board funds Planning, Engineering, or construction management services it is done from the Utah Wastewater Loan Fund or Hardship Grant Fund to avoid Federal Architectural and Engineering procurement requirements.

Table 4: Summary of Project Requests

Entity	Planning, Engineering, & CMS	Construction	Total Requested Funding
Long Valley SID	\$210,700	\$1,212,000	\$1,422,700
Lewiston	\$0	\$2,144,000	\$2,144,000
Hanksville	\$323,036	\$1,747,564	\$2,070,600
Springdale	\$411,500	\$3,799,600	\$4,211,100

Page 4
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting
FY 2023 Funding Requests

Entity	Planning, Engineering, & CMS	Construction	Total Requested Funding
North Logan	\$0	\$10,550,000	\$10,550,000
Delta	\$1,322,000	\$15,317,000	\$16,639,000
Central Valley	\$0	\$33,200,000	\$33,200,000

STAFF EVALUATED FUNDING SCENARIO

Staff evaluated a scenario to obligate between \$8.8 million in funding.

Table 5: Summary of Additional Funding Requests

Entity	CWSRF	Principal Forgiveness	UWLF	Hardship Grant
Long Valley SID	\$1,250,000	\$0	\$220,000	\$0
Lewiston	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	-\$460,000
Hanksville	\$0	\$1,800,000	\$350,000	\$0
Springdale	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
North Logan	\$2,600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Delta	\$0	\$0	\$200,000 (previously authorized)	\$200,000
Central Valley	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Page 6
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting
Additional Funding Requests
ENCLOSURE 1

ENCLOSURE 1

LONG VALLEY SID

At the August 24th Board Meeting, Long Valley was authorized by the board for a design advance of \$84,300. Long Valley is asking for a loan for the remaining \$1,274,200. The total amount that Long Valley is asking for is \$1,358,500.

Long Valley SID is proposing the four following upgrades to increase the resiliency and automation of their system:

- 1. Replacing the old manual bar screen with an automatic screen auger to eliminate the need for manual service of the bar screens and remove non-organic solids to extend the lifespan of the lagoons.
- 2. Increase the volume of the lift station wet well to increase the holding capacity in the case of a power failure as well as handle higher flows during tourist season.
- 3. Extend the sewer line further into Mt. Carmel Junction and Glendale to hookup more buildings to the sanitary sewer.
- 4. Map and inspect the sewer system and conduct repairs to prevent Infiltration and Inflow.

Long Valley needs to upgrade its system because of the lack of full time employees, and the high possibility of power outages due to their remoteness. Long Valley is also planning to expand the sewer collection lines to receive more sewage and take more septic systems out of service. Staff is supportive of this project as a loan from the WQB would improve the resiliency and safety of this remote system, as well as connect more homes to sewer service.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could fully fund the project with equivalency requirements.
- 2. The Board could authorize the design advance to be forgiven as a grant with no further funding.

LEWISTON CITY

Lewiston is requesting additional funding for the project. Lewiston City was authorized by the board a design advance of \$186,000 at the February 26, 2020 meeting. On March 25, 2020 the Board authorized a hardship grant of \$500,000.00 to construction assistance, which includes the design advance amount. The U.S. Department of Agriculture - Rural Development (USDA- RD) also authorized a loan of \$2,052,000 and was obligated at 1.875%, for a 40 years term and a grant of

Page 7 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting FY 2023 Funding Requests

\$483,000 for the project. In addition, Lewiston self-funded an anticipated share at \$144,000. The total project was anticipated at \$3.06 million. The proposed project consists of improvements and upgrades that are needed to replace aging infrastructure, eliminate capacity limitations, improve wastewater treatment performance and enhance the overall system maintainability, flexibility, reliability, and customer service.

In June 2021, the City bid the project and the lowest bid came in over the original construction estimate. With the higher than estimated construction bid, the overall project costs are now estimated to be \$5.3 million. The bids indicated that higher costs are due to higher pipe material costs and higher labor costs due to market conditions. A total of \$5.3 million is needed to complete the project. Of the entire \$5.3 million total project cost, Lewiston is short by \$2,144,000. Lewiston City requested additional funding of \$2,144,000 to pay for cost overruns on their construction project. Updated funding alternatives that include various mixtures of loan and grant are provided in Attachment 4.

In addition, funding with USDA-RD indicated support for additional and a funding package in the form of 80:20 loan-to-grant proportions. Based on the cost model and the evident hardship, the Board could best assist the city by bringing additional funds in the form of principal forgiveness. Without additional grant funding (principal forgiveness), the sewer rate exceeds Board affordability criteria. Therefore, the board may consider funding additional principal forgiveness. Due to limitations of DWQ hardship funding, staff has indicated an option of a funding package to repay the previous advances from the Hardship Grant Fund as a loan.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTION:

- 1. The Board could authorize \$1,000,000 in principal forgiveness and a \$400,000 loan to repay the Planning and Design Advances (shown as a current balance -\$460,000 in the Hardship Grant column of Table 5).
- 2. The Board could instruct Lewiston to fully exhaust pursuing additional funding from USDA-RD.

HANKSVILLE

Hanksville was authorized a hardship planning advance in the amount of \$36,000 at the December 15, 2021 board meeting. On August 24, 2022 the board authorized a design advance in the amount of \$162,000. The proposed project includes repairing lagoons that were damaged due to flooding on September 2, 2021. Along with lagoon repairs the lagoons have trouble maintaining 3 feet depth of water during the winter months. To maintain water depth, canal water is pumped into the lagoons. Therefore, the Town would like to divide the secondary cell to help maintain a consistent depth in the lagoons. In addition to maintaining minimum water depth, other improvements are recommended. These include lowering the first cell to allow for more hydraulic head between the flume and water surface of the primary cell, routing a section of pipe directly from the flume to the secondary cell for operational maintenance, and increasing the exterior dike height to prevent future flood events from damaging the cells again. The recommended increase in height is based

Page 8
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting
FY 2023 Funding Requests

on high water marks at the site along with accounts from town personnel of reported floodwater depths. Finally, the hydraulic conductivity of the clay liners will be assessed to determine whether or not the clay liners need to be replaced. The replacement of clay liners was assumed into the probable costs.

The Town had a sewer master plan developed in May 2022 which included a preliminary opinion of probable costs for the above projects of \$2,030,600. Once contingency is included and their local contribution of \$26,000 the total amount of money the Town is in need of for this project is \$2,044,600. Currently, the Town has their current monthly fee at \$15.50. If the town was required to find a private loan in the amount of \$2,044,600 their sewer cost as a percent of MAGI would be 6.20%. If the Town was given a water quality board loan in the amount of \$2,044,600 their sewer cost as a percent of MAGI would be 4.94%. If the Town was given either \$1,300,000 or 1,800,000 in principal forgiveness their sewer cost as a percent of MAGI would be 2.31% or 1.3% respectively.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

3. The Board could fully fund the project with a significant portion funded as principal forgiveness and funded with equivalency requirements. The Staff believes without Board funding a project will not occur.

SPRINGDALE

The Town of Springdale is requesting funding of a \$4.2 million wastewater project. In addition to Springdale the Town's wastewater system is used by the neighboring community of Rockville as well as Zion National Park. Springdale's collection system flows to treatment lagoons to the west of Rockville. Currently the Town's wastewater is treated with a wastewater lagoon treatment facility which periodically discharges effluent water into the Virgin River. On May 6, 2021, Springdale received a Notice of Violation and Compliance Order (NOV/CO) from the Division of Water Quality (DWQ). The NOV/CO was a result of elevated total suspended solids (TSS) and *E. coli* levels in exceedance with their wastewater discharge permit. This project will allow Springdale City to maintain compliance with DWQ discharge requirements, specifically it will make it possible for the plant to make improvements that are predicted to help reduce the levels of TSS as well as reduce the levels of phosphorus in the treatment effluent. The proposed project is to construct or upgrade the sewer systems & lagoon treatment facility. Updated funding alternatives that include various mixtures of loan and grant are provided in Attachment 6.

Springdale is currently pursuing funding from the Community Impact Board (CIB) and is on the funding list for the October 2022 CIB meeting. CIB staff have indicated support for the project with potential funding from loan at 2.5% for a 30-year term. CIB's loan funds are at historic lows and staff is unsure if CIB will be able to fully fund the project. Per discussion with the Town a potential funding package split with CIB. Staff has discussed with Springdale that if the Board funds a project it could be bid as one project with funding coming from the UWLF or the Board may require Springdale could split the project into two bids with one project funded by CIB and

Page 9
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting
FY 2023 Funding Requests

the other project funded by the Board with CWSRF equivalency requirements. The overall project could roughly be split and bid as headworks project and filters project. Due to equivalency requirements staff recommends if the Board funds the project it be funding with equivalency requirements.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could authorize a loan for the construction of the headworks or filters with equivalency requirements.
- 2. The Board could partially fund the project from Utah Wastewater Loan Funds.
- 3. The Board could recommend staff wait to hear the results of the CIB funding meeting. This might require bringing Springdale to the December 2022 Board meeting.

NORTH LOGAN

North Logan is currently constructing a replacement for the existing main gravity trunk line taking all of North Logan's sewer flows to the Logan City Treatment Plant. This trunk line will connect to existing infrastructure as well as new developments planned in the area – these developments are a major factor in the subsequent phases of the project. The upgrades are driven by growth and a capital improvement plan.

The trunk line project is divided into seven phases. The first Phase is completed, Phases 2 is currently under construction, and Phase IV is ready to bid. The remaining Phases have been prioritized based on development pressure and avoiding additional costs caused by delays. Staff is highly concerned about funding a project which is already under construction. Even utilizing Utah Wastewater Loan Fund is challenging backtracking compliance with the loan program and authorizing previously invoiced work. If items are found to be non-compliant it is difficult if not impossible to make corrections or adjustments. For this reason, staff does not recommend funding Phase III. If Phase III is funded it must be funded from Utah Wastewater Loan Fund.

PHASE	BID	PHASE DETAILS	SEGMENT LENGTH	ANTICIPATED NORTH LOGAN COST SHARE
III	Yes	1800N 600W to 1650N 600W	1,128'	\$1.8M
IV	Late 2022	600W 1650N to 700W 1450N	2,022'	\$2.6 M
V	Spring 2023	1400N 800W to 1200N 800W	1,320'	\$0.895 M
VI	2024 - 2025	400W 2200N to 600W 1800N	3,825'	\$4.1 M
VII	2026 - 2027	2200N 150E to 2200N 100W	1,760'	\$1.7M
			Total	\$11.095M

Page 10 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting FY 2023 Funding Requests

Additional Funding Options:

- 1. The Board could fund \$2.6 million to Phase IV with equivalency requirements.
- 2. The Board could fund \$3.5 million to Phase IV and V with equivalency requirements.

DELTA

Delta City provides wastewater treatment to 3,500 residents. On August 24, 2022 the board authorized a hardship grant in the amount of \$200,000 and a short-term loan in the amount of \$200,000 at an interest rate of 0% over 5 years. The City finished their wastewater master plan in 2019, and found deficiencies and system failures. Delta City's sewer system consists of six zones that they would like to work on improvements for. Improvements to Zone A include bypassing Lift Station A and replacing approximately 43,200 feet of clay pipe, asbestos cement pipe, and concrete pipes and manholes. Zone B and C improvements include replacing the force main line between Lift Station B and the lagoons, upgrading Lift Station C, and include replacing approximately 17,860 feet of clay pipe and asbestos cement pipe and associated manholes with these zones. Improvements to Zones D and E consist of replacing approximately 16,725 feet of concrete pipe and associated manholes. Zone F improvements include upgrading Lift Station F and installing a new force main line from Lift Station F to connect to the new force main interceptor from Lift Station B. Actual quantities and prioritization of pipe replacement will be determined based on the results of the next sewer video inspection. The cost for these wastewater improvements were estimated at \$16,750,000. Delta was encouraged by staff to apply by the June 30th deadline. However, at this time staff is concerned the project is not fully developed in its scope and Delta's appetite for the required projected rate increases. Staff believes Delta will reduce the scope of the project to reduce the required rate increase. In addition, staff and Delta believe they will be eligible for funding by USDA-RD.

Additional Funding Options:

- 1. The Board could instruct Delta to work with USDA-RD and/or the Community Impact Board to secure funding for the project.
- 2. The Board could instruct Delta to further scope their project and resubmit an application for next year's funding by June 30, 2023.
- 3. Staff does not see an option to partially fund this project at this time.

CENTRAL VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY

Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility (CVWRF) provides wastewater treatment to several cities and districts. CVWRF is in the process of upgrading the water reclamation facility to a Biological Nutrient Removal treatment process in order to comply with the TBPEL as well as improve other aspects of water quality prior to discharge to the Jordan River. South Salt Lake City (SSL) was funded separately from CVWRF due to the increased hardship they are experiencing in comparison to the other entities served by CVWRF. CVWRF has bid the majority of the projects

Page 11 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting FY 2023 Funding Requests

associated with the upgrades to the facility necessary to meet the TBPEL. Almost all of the projects came in higher than anticipated when CVWRF and SSL came to the Board. The overall improvement project has increased from approximately \$187 Million to \$305 Million.

CVWRF is seeking any amount of additional funding that the Board is able to provide, and would appreciate being brought back for consideration, in the event that additional funding becomes available in the near future. CVWRF continues to consider all funding options and will find ways to finance the projects, however, CVWRF recognizes the benefit of Water Quality Board Funds.

In order to not encumber the entire upgrade, the federal money provided by the WQ Board to both CVWRF and South Salt Lake was used to fund the contract awarded for The Biological Nutrient Removal Basin (BNR) Project. The Bid contract included American Iron and Steel, Davis Bacon Wages, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements. It is anticipated that any additional funding would also be applied to that project, freeing up money from other sources to be utilized for the many other projects that are being constructed on a similar time frame with the BNR project.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING OPTIONS:

- 1. The Board could consider authorizing any amount up to the requested amount.
- 2. The Board could opt not to provide additional funding at this time, but leave the door open if additional Board Funds become available to have CVWRF come back at that time.

DWQ-2022-027728

Page 12 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Additional Funding Requests ATTACHMENT 1

ATTACHMENT 1- Financial Status Report

	St	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year	S	tate Fiscal Year
STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)		2023		2024		2025
CAP Grant Base Program						
Capitalization Grants Awards (FY22)	\$	6,096,000				
Future Capitalization Grant (estimated)		, ,	\$	6,000,000	\$	6,000,000
State Cap Grant Match (FY22)	\$	1,219,200	\$	-	\$	-
Future State Cap Grant Match (estimated)		, ,	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,200,000
CAP Grant General Supplemental			·	, ,	•	, ,
General Supplemental Grants	\$	9,378,000				
Future General Supplemental Grant (estimated)	•	-,,	\$	10,294,350	\$	11,234,025
State General Supplemental Grants Match	\$	937,800	•			
Future State Gen. Sup Grants Match (estimated)	7	551,555	\$	1,029,435	\$	2,246,805
SRF - 2nd Round			Υ	2,023, .03	Ť	_,0,000
Account Balance	\$	39,411,663	\$	(5,262,231)	Ś	(2,501,078)
Interest Earnings at 0.4676%	\$	139,649	\$	(22,375)		(10,635)
Loan Repayments (5255)	\$	10,060,741	\$	20,400,749	\$	20,164,590
Total Funds Available		67,243,053	\$	33,639,927	\$	38,333,707
CWSRF Program Obligations	Ψ	07,213,033	Υ	33,033,327	Υ	30,333,707
Admin Expenses 4% of all CAP Grant Awards	¢	(638,680)	\$	(696,774)	ς	(734,361)
Cap Grant Principal Forgiveness (PF) (FY18-22)	\$ \$	(13,534,600)	۲	(030,774)	Ţ	(734,301)
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	7	(13,334,000)	\$	(600,000)	ς	(600,000)
General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	\$	(4,595,220)	ڔ	(000,000)	ڔ	(000,000)
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	۰	(4,333,220)	\$	(5,044,232)	\$	(5,504,672)
OSG Cost Share Balances (FY20-21)	\$	(80,784)	ڔ	(3,044,232)	ڔ	(3,304,072)
Project Obligations	7	(00,704)				
Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility	\$	(12,100,000)	\$	_	\$	_
Moab City	\$	(80,000)			\$	
Provo City	\$	(27,045,000)		(16,800,000)		<u>-</u>
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$	(524,000)		(10,800,000)	\$	
Millville City Loan	۶ \$	(5,146,000)		-	\$	
Mountain Green	\$	(5,500,000)		(1,500,000)	\$	<u>-</u>
	\$	(2,000,000)		(11,500,000)		-
Payson City Loan Authorizations	Ş	(2,000,000)	Ş	(11,500,000)	Ş	-
South Davis Sewer District (with NPS)					۲	(14 176 000)
Millville Refinance Loan	\$	(1,261,000)			\$ \$	(14,176,000)
	Ş	(1,201,000)			Ş	-
Planned Projects	۲				\$	
Long Valley	\$	-				-
North Logan	\$	-			\$	-
Lewiston	\$	-			\$	-
Springdale	\$	-				
Delta	\$	-				
Central Valley	\$	-		(25.4.4.005.75)		(04 045 005 05)
CWSRF Obligations				(36,141,005.50)		(21,015,033.25)
CWSRF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	(5,262,231.31)	Ş	(2,501,078.20)	Ş	17,318,673.93

Page 13 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Additional Funding Requests ATTACHMENT 2

Add. Sub Principal Forgiveness						
PF Balances (max for FY18-22)	\$	13,534,600	\$	3,015,820	\$	4,660,052
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	\$	-	\$	600,000	\$	600,000
General Supplemental Balances (PF portion)	\$	4,595,220	,	000,000	Υ	223,222
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	,	.,555,225	Ś	5,044,232	\$	5,504,672
Project Obligations			*	5,5 : 1,=5=	т .	2,00 .,01 =
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$	(2,000,000)				
Millville City	\$	(3,604,000)				
Provo City	\$	(4,000,000)	Ś	(3,000,000)		
Payson City	Ť	(.,000,000,	\$	(1,000,000)		
Add. Sub. Authorizations			_	(=,000,000,		
Millville City Refinance	\$	(3,750,000)				
South Salt Lake Increase	\$	(1,760,000)				
Planned Projects	7	(1,700,000)				
Lewiston	\$	-				
Hanksville	\$					
Principal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	\$	3,015,820.00	\$	4,660,051.50	\$	10,764,723.75
Trincipal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	٧	3,013,020.00	۲	4,000,031.30	۲	10,704,723.73
	Sta	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year
UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN FUND (UWLF)		2023		2024		2025
Funds Available						
UWLF	\$	26,611,697		15,602,351	\$	17,367,207
Sales Tax Revenue	\$	1,468,266		3,587,500	\$	3,587,500
Loan Repayments (5260)	\$	2,000,988		2,473,791	\$	2,808,235
Total Funds Available	\$	30,080,951	\$	21,663,642	\$	23,762,942
General Obligations						
State Match Transfers Base Cap Grant	\$	(1,219,200)		(1,200,000)	\$	(1,200,000)
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	\$	(937,800)		-	\$	-
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant (est)			\$	(1,029,435)		(2,246,805)
State Match Reserve for Historic Cap Grant Values			\$	(368,400)	\$	(368,400)
DWQ Administrative Expenses	\$	(1,698,600)	\$	(1,698,600)	\$	(1,698,600)
Project Obligations						
South Salt Lake City (B)	\$	(4,891,000)	\$	-	\$	-
Loan Authorizations						
Spanish Fork	\$	(4,500,000)	\$	-	\$	-
South Salt Lake City	\$	(1,032,000)		-	\$	-
Delta	\$	(200,000)				
Planned Projects	, .	(,,				
Hanksville	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Long Valley	\$	-	Ė			
Springdale	\$	-				
Lewiston	\$	-				
Total Obligations	\$	(14,478,600)	Ś	(4,296,435)	\$	(5,513,805)
UWLF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	15,602,351	\$	17,367,207	\$	18,249,137
The members of the second seco	7	13,002,331	Ÿ	17,507,207	·	10,2 13,137
TOTAL LOAN FUND BALANCE	\$	13,355,940	\$	19,526,180	\$	46,332,534
PROJECT RESERVE			\$	(5,000,000)	\$	(10,000,000)
TOTAL AVAILABLE LOAN FUNDS	\$	13,355,940	\$	14,526,180	\$	36,332,534

Page 14
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Additional Funding Requests
ATTACHMENT 2

ATTACHMENT 2-Financial Status Report – Potential Obligations

	St	ate Fiscal Year	S	tate Fiscal Year	S	tate Fiscal Year
STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)		2023		2024		2025
CAP Grant Base Program						
Capitalization Grants Awards (FY22)	\$	6,096,000				
Future Capitalization Grant (estimated)	,	, ,	\$	6,000,000	\$	6,000,000
State Cap Grant Match (FY22)	\$	1,219,200	\$	-	\$	-
Future State Cap Grant Match (estimated)	,	, ,	\$	1,200,000	\$	1,200,000
CAP Grant General Supplemental				, ,		, ,
General Supplemental Grants	\$	9,378,000				
Future General Supplemental Grant (estimated)		, ,	\$	10,294,350	\$	11,234,025
State General Supplemental Grants Match	\$	937,800		, ,		
Future State Gen. Sup Grants Match (estimated)	ľ	,	\$	1,029,435	\$	2,246,805
SRF - 2nd Round						
Account Balance	\$	39,411,663	\$	(9,472,231)	\$	(6,728,979)
Interest Earnings at 0.4676%	\$	139,649	\$	(40,276)		(28,612)
Loan Repayments (5255)	\$	10,060,741	\$	20,400,749	\$	20,164,590
Total Funds Available		67,243,053	\$	29,412,026	\$	34,087,829
CWSRF Program Obligations	•	, ,	•	, ,	•	, ,
Admin Expenses 4% of all CAP Grant Awards	\$	(638,680)	\$	(696,774)	\$	(734,361)
Cap Grant Principal Forgiveness (PF) (FY18-22)	\$	(13,534,600)	-	, , ,	-	, , ,
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	,	, , ,	\$	(600,000)	\$	(600,000)
General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	\$	(4,595,220)		, , ,		, , ,
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	,	, , ,	\$	(5,044,232)	\$	(5,504,672)
OSG Cost Share Balances (FY20-21)	\$	(80,784)		, , , ,		, , , ,
Project Obligations		, , ,				
Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility	\$	(12,100,000)	\$	-	\$	-
Moab City	\$	(80,000)		-	\$	-
Provo City	\$	(27,045,000)		(16,800,000)	\$	-
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$	(524,000)	\$	-	\$	-
Millville City Loan	\$	(5,146,000)		-	\$	-
Mountain Green	\$	(5,500,000)	\$	(1,500,000)	\$	-
Payson City	\$	(2,000,000)	\$	(11,500,000)	\$	-
Loan Authorizations						
South Davis Sewer District (with NPS)					\$	(14,176,000)
Millville Refinance Loan	\$	(1,261,000)			\$	-
Planned Projects						
Long Valley	\$	(1,250,000.00)			\$	-
North Logan	\$	(2,600,000.00)			\$	-
Lewiston	\$	(360,000.00)			\$	-
Springdale	\$	-				
Delta	\$	-				
Central Valley	\$	-				
CWSRF Obligations	\$	(76,715,284.00)	\$	(36,141,005.50)	\$	(21,015,033.25)
CWSRF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	(9,472,231.31)	\$	(6,728,979.12)	\$	13,072,795.97

Page 15
September 22, 2022
Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting
Additional Funding Requests
ATTACHMENT 2

Add. Sub Principal Forgiveness						
PF Balances (max for FY18-22)	\$	13,534,600	\$	215,820	\$	1,860,052
Future Cap Grant (PF portion)	\$		\$	600,000	\$	600,000
General Supplemental Balances (PF portion)	\$	4,595,220	*	000,000	Τ	000,000
Future General Supplemental Grants (PF portion)	*	.,555,225	Ś	5,044,232	\$	5,504,672
Project Obligations			T	-,-:,	-	5,00 1,01 =
South Salt Lake City (A)	\$	(2,000,000)				
Millville City	\$	(3,604,000)				
Provo City	\$	(4,000,000)	\$	(3,000,000)		
Payson City		(1,000,000)	\$	(1,000,000)		
Add. Sub. Authorizations				(1,000,000)		
Millville City Refinance	\$	(3,750,000)				
South Salt Lake Increase	\$	(1,760,000)				
Planned Projects	7	(1,700,000)				
Lewiston	\$	(1,000,000)				
Hanksville	\$	(1,800,000)				
Principal Forgiveness Remaining Balance	\$	215,820.00		1,860,051.50	\$	7,964,723.75
Finicipal Forgiveness Kemanning Dalance	۲	213,820.00	۲	1,800,031.30	ڔ	7,304,723.73
	Sta	te Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year	St	ate Fiscal Year
UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN FUND (UWLF)		2023		2024		2025
Funds Available						
UWLF	\$	26,611,697		14,992,351	\$	16,757,207
Sales Tax Revenue	\$	1,468,266		3,587,500	\$	3,587,500
Loan Repayments (5260)	\$	2,000,988		2,473,791	\$	2,808,235
Total Funds Available	\$	30,080,951	\$	21,053,642	\$	23,152,942
General Obligations						
State Match Transfers Base Cap Grant	\$	(1,219,200)	\$	(1,200,000)	\$	(1,200,000)
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant	\$	(937,800)	\$	-	\$	-
State Match Transfers Gen. Supplemental Grant (est)			\$	(1,029,435)	\$	(2,246,805)
State Match Reserve for Historic Cap Grant Values			\$	(368,400)	\$	(368,400)
DWQ Administrative Expenses	\$	(1,698,600)	\$	(1,698,600)	\$	(1,698,600)
Project Obligations				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
South Salt Lake City (B)	\$	(4,891,000)	\$	-	\$	-
Loan Authorizations						
Spanish Fork	\$	(4,500,000)	\$	_	\$	_
South Salt Lake City	\$	(1,032,000)		-	\$	-
Delta	\$	(200,000)	Ť		т.	
Planned Projects		(=55,550)				
Hanksville	\$	(350,000)	\$		\$	-
Long Valley	\$	(220,000)	۲		7	
Springdale	\$	(220,000)				
Lewiston	\$	(40,000)				
Total Obligations	\$	(15,088,600)		(4,296,435)	¢	(5,513,805)
UWLF Remaining Loan Balance	\$	14,992,351	\$	16,757,207	\$	17,639,137
OWER Remaining Loan balance	٦	14,992,331	۶	10,757,207	ې	17,059,157
TOTAL LOAN FUND BALANCE	\$	5,735,940	\$	11,888,279	\$	38,676,656
PROJECT RESERVE			\$	(5,000,000)		(10,000,000)
TOTAL AVAILABLE LOAN FUNDS	\$	5,735,940	\$	6,888,279	\$	28,676,656
		-,,-	' '	-,,	•	-, - : -, - 3 0

Page 16 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 3-Long Valley Cost Model

Attachment 1 Long Valley SID - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model

Project Costs

Troject Costs			
Legal/Bonding		S	20,000
DWQ Loan Origination Fee		\$	15,000
Engineering - Design		\$	84,300
Engineering - CMS		S	126,400
WWTP	\$ 269,000		
Lift Station	\$ 234,000		
Collection System	\$ 262,000		
Other	\$ 220,000		
Construction subtotal		s	985,000
Contingency (~20%)		\$	192,000
Total Project Cost:		\$	1,422,700

Project Funding

Local Contribution	2	64.200
Amount to be Funded	s	1,358,500
WQB Grant	s	-
Total Project Cost:	\$	1,422,700

Current Customer Base & User Charges

Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	690
MAGI for Long Valley S ID (2020):	\$37,029
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	\$43.20
Impact Fee/Hookup Fee (per ERU):	\$150
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$34.00
Debt Service	\$73,000
Annual O&M expense	\$36,000

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	20
Reserve Funding Period:	6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

Principal Forgiveness	WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*	WQB Loan Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Private Loan Debt Service	Annval Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial Burden
	0	1,358,500		3.20%	0	0	93,009	36,000	73000	202,009	24.40	0.79%	LOW
	1,358,500	0	0.50%		71,547	17,887	0	36,000	73000	198,434	23.97	0.78%	LOW
	1,358,500	0	1.00%		75,282	18,820	0	36,000	73000	203,102	24.53	0.79%	LOW
	1,358,500	0	1.50%		79,127	19,782	0	36,000	73000	207,909	25.11	0.81%	LOW
	1,358,500	0	2.00%		83,081	20,770	0	36,000	73000	212,852	25.71	0.83%	LOW
	1,358,500	0	2.50%		87,144	21,786	0	36,000	73000	217,930	26.32	0.85%	LOW

^{*}Staff Estimate

Page 17 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 4- Lewiston Cost Model

	. Doord												
													1
in Static Cost Mod	lel												
											-		-
										Current Custo	mer Base & U	ser Charges	
			\$ 40,000									280	
			+							` '		\$47.000	
												\$54.83	
Design & CMD		. 2	\$ 455,000									170	\$2,27
												D	\$48.0
											ly ree (per Exc]	\$10.0
		-									700000		\$121.500
ruh to tal		\$ 7,350,000	\$ 4300,000							Allinai Octivi e	жрепье		\$121,300
													1
CUSI.		-	\$ 5,525,000							Funding Cand	litions		1
ina													20
			\$ 144,000										- 20
										Reserve Fundin	g Period.		-
			·							TICDA DD F			1
													40
												Lerm	1.8759
										O SD A-KD line	riest Kate		1.6/37
													1
COST:			\$ 5,323,000										-
COST OF SEWE	R SERVICE												
CODI OI DENIE	CDLCTICL												
WQB Loan	RD Grant including	Existing & Possible RD	WQB Loan	l		WQB Loan	Market Loan	Annua1	Existing Debt	Total Annual	Monthly Sewer Cost/	Sewer Cost as %	Financial Burden
	existing	Loan	Times est Trate	Tillerest Ivale	Debt Set vice	Reserve	Debt Service	Sewer	Set vice	Sewer Cost	ERU	of MAGI	Dolocii
	;				-	_				;			HIGH
													HIGH
1,644,000	483,000	2,052,000								315,972			HIGH
1,144,000	483,000												HIGH
					_	_							HIGH
;	691,800	2,887,200			25,000	-,			0	281,805			HIGH
226,000	711,800	2,967,200			11,300				0	268,256			HIGH
226,000	766,600	3,186,400	0.00%	1.875%	11,300	2,825	142,429	121,500	0	278,054	82.75	2.11%	HIGH
226,000	666,600	2,786,400	0.00%	1.875%	11,300	2,825	124,549	121,500	0	260,174	77.43	1.98%	HIGH
			0.00%	1.875%	11.300	2.825	106.669	121.500	0	242.294	72.11	1.84%	Medlum
226,000	566,600	2,386,400			11,500		100,000		-		74.11		
	566,600 475,000	2,386,400 2,020,000	0.00%	1.875%	11,300	2,825	90,292	121,500	0	225,917	67.24	1.72%	Medlum
	in Static Cost Model in Eniveromental rigination Fee Design & CMS and total 10% Cost: ing pation Grant Construction Grant String Cost Cost: COST OF SEWE WQB Loan 0 2,144,000 1,644,000 1,144,000 500,000 226,000	ity - Water Quality Board in Static Cost Model Static Cost Model - Eniveromental rigination Fee Design & CMS Cost: Ing ption India by WQB Design Grant Construction Grant String Loan Cost: COST OF SEWER SERVICE RD Grant including existing 0 911,800 2,144,000 483,000 1,644,000 483,000 1,144,000 483,000 500,000 691,800 226,000 711,800	The state The	The first content of the content o	Static Cost Mode	The content of the	Interest Rate Interest Rat	Tentiveromental	The interest Part State Cost Model State State	Interest Rate Interest Rat	My - Water Quality Board Static Cost Model Current Custo Current Custo Current Custo Current Custo Current Custo Initial Total Custo MAGI for A MAG	Wester Cost Model	Institute Quality Board

Page 18 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 5- Hanksville Cost Model

HANKSVILLE - Water Quality Board 30 Year Loan Static Cost Model

Project Costs

Legal/Bonding	\$ 15,000
Loan Origination Fee	\$ 25,000
Planning Advance	\$ 36,600
Engineering - Design	\$ 188,000
Engineering - CMS	\$ 135,000
Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$ 1,483,000
Contingency (13%)	\$ 188,000
Total Project Cost:	\$ 2,070,600

Project Funding

Local Contribution	\$ 26,000
Amount to be Funded	\$ 2,044,600
WQB Grant	\$ -
Total Project Cost:	\$ 2,070,600

Current Customer Base & User Charges

Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	81
MAGI for Hanksville Town (2020):	\$25,400
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	\$29.63
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$0
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$15.50
Debt Service	\$3,228
Annual O&M expense	\$13,250

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	30
Reserve Funding Period:	6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

Principal Forgiveness	WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*	WQB Loan Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Private Loan Debt Service	Annual Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial Burden
	0	2,044,600	0.00%	3.50%	0	0	111,168	13,250	3228	127,646	131.32	6.20%	HIGH
	2,044,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	68,153	17,038	0	13,250	3228	101,670	104.60	4.94%	HIGH
1,200,000	844,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	28,153	7,038	0	13,250	3228	51,670	53.16	2.51%	HIGH
1,300,000	744,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	24,820	6,205	0	13,250	3228	47,503	48.87	2.31%	MEDIUM
1,400,000	644,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	21,487	5,372	0	13,250	3228	43,336	44.58	2.11%	MEDIUM
1,500,000	544,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	18,153	4,538	0	13,250	3228	39,170	40.30	1.90%	MEDIUM
1,600,000	444,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	14,820	3,705	0	13,250	3228	35,003	36.01	1.70%	LOW
1,650,000	394,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	13,153	3,288	0	13,250	3228	32,920	33.87	1.60%	LOW
1,700,000	344,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	11,487	2,872	0	13,250	3228	30,836	31.72	1.50%	LOW
1,750,000	294,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	9,820	2,455	0	13,250	3228	28,753	29.58	1.40%	LOW
1,800,000	244,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	8,153	2,038	0	13,250	3228	26,670	27.44	1.30%	LOW

Page 19 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 6- Springdale Cost Model

		o- Spring	dale Cost N	IUUCI	Λ7	TACHEM	IENT 6						
				Ton			ater Quality	· Doord					
				1011									
	1				20 Year	Loan Stati	ic Cost Mod	lel		Ĭ	Ĭ	Ĭ	
Project Cost										Cumout Cua	tomor Poss &	Han Change	
Legal/Bondin				\$ 20,000							rrent Customer Base & User Charges al Total Customer (ERU's)		
	g Origination Fee			\$ 40,000							for Springdale/Rockville (2020):		
	Design & CM	2		\$ 411.500							onthly Rate at		\$34,000 \$39.6
General Con			\$ 235,000	3 411,500						Impact Fee (1.470	\$1.00
Filters	J. Hellon		\$ 1,540,000								hly Fee (per E	RID	\$24.6
Headworks			\$ 603,000							Debt Service			\$(
Transfer Stru	cture		\$ 165,000							Annual O&M			\$500,000
Other			\$ 287,700					Î		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
Construction	subtotal			\$2,830,700			CIB Funding	Conditions			Funding Co	nditions	
Contingency	(30%)			\$908,850			Loan Repaym	ent Term:	20		Loan Repayr	nent Term:	20
Total Projec	t Cost:			\$4,211,100			Reserve Fundi	ing Period:	NA		Reserve Fun	ding Period:	6
-													
Project Fund	ling								ERUs Breakde	own			
Local Contrib	oution			\$ 211,100									
Amount to be	CIB			\$2,000,000								To be funde	ed by ERU
Amount to b	e Fund ed			\$2,000,000						Percent Flow	ERUs	Perce	
WQB Grant				S -					Zion NP	40%	581		1,684,440
Total Projec	t Cost:			\$ 4,211,100					Hotels	35%		+	1,473,885
									Residential	25%	323	\$	1,052,775
ESTIMATE	D COST OF S	EWER SERVI	CE	SYSTEMWID	E CONNECTI	ON (RESIDE	NTIAL AND I	NDUSTRY)					
Dainainat		Market Loan	WOB Loan	CIB Loan	WOB Loan	WOB Loan	Market Loan	A	Enistina Data	Total Annual	Monthly	Sewer Cost	Financial
Principal Forgiveness	WQB Loan	Amount	MQB Loan Interest Rate	Interest Rate*	Debt Service	Reserve	Debt Service	Annua1 Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Sewer Cost	Sewer Cost/	as % of	Burden
rorgiveness		Amount	Interest Rate	Interest Kate	Debt Service	Reserve	Debt Service	Se Wei	Service	Se wer Cost	ERU	MAGI	Durden
	0	4,000,000		2.50%	0	0	256,589	500,000	0	756,589	48.84	1.72%	MEDIUM
	2,000,000	2,000,000	0.00%	0.00%	100,000	25,000	100,000	500,000	0	725,000	46.80	1.65%	MEDIUM
	2,000,000	2,000,000	2.50%	2.50%	128,294	32,074	128,294	500,000	0	788,662	50.91	1.80%	MEDIUM
\$2,000,000	0	2,000,000	0.00%	2.50%	0	0	128,294	500,000	0	628,294	40.56	1.43%	MEDIUM
750,000	302,775	2,947,225	0.00%	2.50%	15,139	3,785	189,056	500,000	0	707,979	45.70	1.61%	MEDIUM
ESTIMATE	COST OF S	EWER SERVI	CE	RESIDENTIA	L CONNECT	ONS ONL Y							
	0	1,052,775		2.50%	0	0	67,532	125,000	0	192,532	49.67	1.75%	MEDIUM
	1,052,775	0	0.00%	2.50%	52,639	13,160	0	125,000	0	190,798	49.23	1.74%	MEDIUM
250,000	802,775	0	0.00%	2.50%	40,139	10,035	0	125,000	0	175,173	45.19	1.60%	MEDIUM
500,000	552,775	0	0.00%	2.50%	27,639	6,910	0	125,000	0	159,548	41.16	1.45%	MEDIUM
750,000	302,775	0	0.00%	2.50%	15,139	3,785	0	125,000	0	143,923	37.13	1.31%	LOW

Page 20 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 7- North Logan Cost Model

North Logan - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model

Project Costs

Legal/B onding			\$	50,000
DWQ Loan Origination Fee			\$	129,376
Engineering - Design & CMS			\$	100,000
Phase 3 - Priority (Fall 2022)	S	1,950,000		
Phase 4.1 - 1500 N to 1800 N (2023)	\$	3,200,000		
Phase 4.2 - 1200 N to 1400 N (2023)	\$	1,200,000		
Phase 5 - Residential Development (2023-2025)	\$	4,200,000		
Construction subtotal			\$	10,550,000
Contingency (20%)			S	2,110,000
Total Project Cost:			\$	12,937,600

Project Funding

Local Contribution	\$ -
Amount to be Funded	\$ 12,937,600
WQB Grant	\$ -
Total Project Cost:	\$ 12,937,600

Current Customer Base & User Charges

Initial Total Customer (ERU's)	3,231
MAGI for North Logan (2020):	\$51,900
Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%	\$60.55
Impact Fee (per ERU):	\$3,300
Current Monthly Fee (per ERU)	\$60.69
Debt Service	\$0
Annual O&M expense	\$2,101,000

Funding Conditions

Loan Repayment Term:	20
Reserve Funding Period:	6

ESTIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE

Principal Forgiveness	WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*	WQB Loan Debt S ervice	`	Private Loan Debt Service	Anmal Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial Burden
-	0	12,937,600	0.00%	3.50%	0	0	910,303	2,101,000	0	3,011,303	77.67	1.80%	MEDIUM
-	12,937,600	0	0.00%	3.50%	646,880	161,720	0	2,101,000	0	2,909,600	75.04	1.74%	MEDIUM
-	3,500,000	9,437,600	1.00%	3.50%	193,954	48,488	664,040	2,101,000	0	3,007,482	77.57	1.79%	MEDIUM
-	3,500,000	9,437,600	2.00%	3.50%	214,049	53,512	664,040	2,101,000	0	3,032,600	78.22	1.81%	MEDIUM
-	3,500,000	9,437,600	3.00%	3.50%	235,255	58,814	664,040	2,101,000	0	3,059,108	78.90	1.82%	MEDIUM
-	2,600,000	10,337,600	1.00%	3.50%	144,080	36,020	727,365	2,101,000	0	3,008,464	77.59	1.79%	MEDIUM
-	2,600,000	10,337,600	2.00%	3.50%	159,007	39,752	727,365	2,101,000	0	3,027,124	78.08	1.81%	MEDIUM
-	2,600,000	10,337,600	3.00%	3.50%	174,761	43,690	727,365	2,101,000	0	3,046,816	78.58	1.82%	MEDIUM

*Staff Estimate

Page 21 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 8- Delta Cost Model

					ATTACHMENT 8 Delta - Water Quality Be	nard							
					0 Year Loan Static Cost 1								
roject Costs										Current Customer Base			
egal/Bonding				\$ 30,000						Initial Total Customer (El	RU's)		1
WQ Loan Origination Fee				\$ 170,000						MAGI for Delta City (2)	020):		\$44
unding Admin				\$ 20,000									
nvironmental Services				\$ 35,000									
DOT Coordination				\$ 8,000									
ngineering - Planning, Design, & CMS				\$ 1,279,000						Affordable Monthly Rate	at 1.4%		5
ollections			\$ 9,195,196							Impact Fee (per ERU):			
ft station			\$ 1,376,400							Current Monthly Fee (pe	r ERU)		:
obilization			\$ 800,000							Debt Service			
eneral Project Items			\$ 1,322,500							Annual O&M expense			\$20
onstruction subtotal				\$ 12,694,096									
ontingency				\$ 2,615,904									
otal Project Cost:				\$ 16,852,000									
RPA Funds nount to be Funded QB Grant otal Project Cost:				\$ 213,000 \$ 16,639,000 \$ - \$ 16,852,000							Loan Repayment Term: Reserve Funding Period:		
STIMATED COST OF SEWER SERVICE			1							T		•	
	WQB Loan	Private Loan Amount	WQB Loan Interest Rate	Private Loan Interest Rate*	WQB Loan Debt Service	WQB Loan Reserve	Private Loan Debt Service	Annual Sewer	Existing Debt Service	Total Annual Sewer Cost	Monthly Sewer Cost/ ERU	Sewer Cost as % of MAGI	Financial B
Principal Forgiveness	"QD Loan	Allouit	Interest rate			Itastive	dervice						
Principal Forgiveness	0	16,639,000	0.00%	4.00%	0	0	1,224,327	265,396	0	1,489,723	112.04	3.04%	HIGH
Principal Forgiveness			0.00% 0.00%	4.00%	0 831,950			265,396 265,396	0	1,489,723 1,305,334	112.04 98.17	3.04% 2.67%	
Principal Forgiveness	0 16,639,000 16,639,000	16,639,000	0.00% 0.00% 0.50%	4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317	0 207,988 219,079	1,224,327	265,396 265,396		1,305,334 1,360,792	98.17 102.35	2.67% 2.78%	HIGH
Principal Forgiveness	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000	16,639,000 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055	0 207,988 219,079 230,514	1,224,327	265,396 265,396 265,396	0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965	98.17 102.35 106.65	2.67% 2.78% 2.90%	HIGH HIGH
Principal Forgiveness	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000	16,639,000 0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00% 1.50%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055 969,151	0 207,988 219,079 230,514 242,288	1,224,327 0 0	265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396	0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965 1,476,834	98.17 102.35 106.65 111.07	2.67% 2.78% 2.90% 3.02%	HIGH HIGH
Principal Forgiveness	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000	16,639,000 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00% 1.50% 2.00%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055 969,151 1,017,387	0 207,988 219,079 230,514 242,288 254,397	1,224,327 0 0 0	265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396	0 0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965 1,476,834 1,537,379	98.17 102.35 106.65 111.07 115.63	2.67% 2.78% 2.90% 3.02% 3.14%	HIGH HIGH HIGH
500,000	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,139,000	16,639,000 0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00% 1.50% 2.00% 0.00%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055 969,151 1,017,587 806,950	0 207,988 219,079 230,514 242,288 254,397 201,738	1,224,327 0 0 0 0	265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396	0 0 0 0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965 1,476,834 1,537,379 1,274,084	98.17 102.35 106.65 111.07 115.63 95.82	2.67% 2.78% 2.90% 3.02% 3.14% 2.60%	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH
500,000 1,000,000	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,139,000 15,639,000	16,639,000 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00% 1.50% 2.00% 0.00%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055 969,151 1,017,587 806,950 781,950	0 207,988 219,079 230,514 242,288 254,397 201,738 195,488	1,224,327 0 0 0 0 0	265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396	0 0 0 0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965 1,476,834 1,537,379 1,274,084 1,242,834	98.17 102.35 106.65 111.07 115.63 95.82 93.47	2.67% 2.78% 2.90% 3.02% 3.14% 2.60% 2.54%	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH
500,000	0 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,639,000 16,139,000	16,639,000 0 0 0 0 0	0.00% 0.00% 0.50% 1.00% 1.50% 2.00% 0.00%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	831,950 876,317 922,055 969,151 1,017,587 806,950	0 207,988 219,079 230,514 242,288 254,397 201,738	1,224,327 0 0 0 0 0 0	265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396 265,396	0 0 0 0 0	1,305,334 1,360,792 1,417,965 1,476,834 1,537,379 1,274,084	98.17 102.35 106.65 111.07 115.63 95.82	2.67% 2.78% 2.90% 3.02% 3.14% 2.60%	HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH HIGH

Page 22 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

ATTACHMENT 9- Central Valley Cost Model

				Cent	ral Valley	y - Watei	· Quality	Board				
			20	Year Loan	Static Cos	t Model A	dditional F	unding Re	quest			
								Ü				
											0.11 63	
DVD D		ect Costs								nt Customer Ba	ase & User Ch	0
BNR Process Ba			\$ 126,200,000						Total ERU's (Pro	, ,		\$ 175,63
Blower Building			\$ 51,000,000						Weighted Average MAGI (2021):			\$ 42,80
Total Project (Cost:		\$ 177,200,000						Affordable Monthly Rate at 1.4%			\$ 49.9
									Current Impact F			Vari
	- 3 -	ct Funding							Current Average		,	\$ 27.6
Cash from mem			\$ 21,095,665						Existing O&M ex		t & Collection	Varie
*South Salt Lak	e Funding		\$ 11,146,000						New O&M expen			\$ 28,798,97
Publicly issued b			\$ 46,658,335						Existing Sewer De			Vario
WQB Loan Exis			\$ 65,100,000						Existing Treatme			\$ 20,375,08
WQB Loan Req	uested		\$ 33,200,000						Weighted Average	e Current Sewer B	ill:	\$ 27.0
Total Project (Cost:		\$ 177,200,000									
	parate Financing	Through the WQB	for their portion of							Funding C	onditions	
he project									Loan Repayment	Term:		2
									Reserve Funding I	Period:		
					ESTIMATED	COST OF SE	WER SERVIC	E				
	WQB Loan	Annual WQB		Required other	Weighted			Monthly	Increase in Cost	Average Per		
WQB Loan	WQB Loan Interest	Annual WQB Loan Debt	Existing WQB	Required other new Debt Service	Weighted Interest Rate	Annual Sewer	Total Annual	Monthly Treatment	Increase in Cost Per ERU/Month	Average Per ERU/Month	Weighted	Weighted
WQB Loan Amount			Existing WQB Debt Service			Annual Sewer O&M Cost	Total Annual Sewer Cost				Weighted Average MAGI	Weighted Average Burd
	Interest	Loan Debt		new Debt Service	Interest Rate			Treatment	Per ERU/Month	ERU/Month		
Amount	Interest	Loan Debt		new Debt Service	Interest Rate	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost	Treatment Cost/ERU	Per ERU/Month	ERU/Month Cost	Average MAGI	Average Burd
Amount \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate	Loan Debt Service	Debt Service	new Debt Service Payments*	Interest Rate for Project	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27.02	Average MAGI 0.76%	Average Burd Low
Amount \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33 \$ 27.48	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27.02 \$ 31.17	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87%	Average Burd Low Low
Amount \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate 0.00% 1.00%	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000 \$ 1,839,788	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project 1.97% 2.13%	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785 \$ 58,088,573	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33 \$ 27.48 \$ 27.56	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14 \$ 4.23	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27.02 \$ 31.17 \$ 31.25	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87% 0.88%	Average Burd Low Low Low
Amount \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate 0.00% 1.00% 1.10%	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000 \$ 1,839,788 \$ 1,858,367 \$ 1,895,848	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project 1.97% 2.13% 2.15%	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785 \$ 58,088,573 \$ 58,107,152	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33 \$ 27.48 \$ 27.56 \$ 27.57	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14 \$ 4.23 \$ 4.24	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27.02 \$ 31.17 \$ 31.25 \$ 31.26	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87% 0.88% 0.88%	Average Burd Low Low Low Low
Amount \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate 0.00% 1.00% 1.10% 1.30%	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000 \$ 1,839,788 \$ 1,858,367 \$ 1,895,848	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project 1.97% 2.13% 2.15% 2.19%	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785 \$ 58,088,573 \$ 58,107,152 \$ 58,144,632	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33 \$ 27.48 \$ 27.56 \$ 27.57 \$ 27.59	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14 \$ 4.23 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.26	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27,02 \$ 31.17 \$ 31.25 \$ 31.26 \$ 31.28	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87% 0.88% 0.88% 0.88%	Average Burd Low Low Low Low Low Low
Amount \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000 \$ 33,200,000	Interest Rate 0.00% 1.00% 1.10% 1.30% 1.35%	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000 \$ 1,839,788 \$ 1,858,367 \$ 1,895,848 \$ 1,905,285	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project 1.97% 2.13% 2.15% 2.19% 2.20%	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785 \$ 58,088,573 \$ 58,107,152 \$ 58,144,632 \$ 58,154,070	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23.33 \$ 27.48 \$ 27.56 \$ 27.57 \$ 27.59 \$ 27.59	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14 \$ 4.23 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.26 \$ 4.26	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27.02 \$ 31.17 \$ 31.25 \$ 31.26 \$ 31.28 \$ 31.28	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87% 0.88% 0.88% 0.88% 0.88%	Average Burd Low Low Low Low Low Low Low Low
	Interest Rate 0.00% 1.00% 1.10% 1.30% 1.35% 1.50%	Loan Debt Service \$ 1,660,000 \$ 1,839,788 \$ 1,858,367 \$ 1,895,848 \$ 1,905,285 \$ 1,933,758	Debt Service \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797 \$ 3,791,797	new Debt Service Payments* \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931 \$ 3,282,931	Interest Rate for Project 1.97% 2.13% 2.15% 2.19% 2.20% 2.23%	O&M Cost \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976 \$ 28,798,976	Sewer Cost \$ 49,174,057 \$ 57,908,785 \$ 58,088,573 \$ 58,107,152 \$ 58,144,632 \$ 58,154,070 \$ 58,182,543	Treatment Cost/ERU \$ 23,33 \$ 27.48 \$ 27.56 \$ 27.57 \$ 27.59 \$ 27.59 \$ 27.61	Per ERU/Month Treatment Only \$ 4.14 \$ 4.23 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.26 \$ 4.26 \$ 4.27	ERU/Month Cost \$ 27,02 \$ 31,17 \$ 31,25 \$ 31,26 \$ 31,28 \$ 31,28 \$ 31,30	Average MAGI 0.76% 0.87% 0.88% 0.88% 0.88% 0.88%	Average Burd Low Low Low Low Low Low

Page 23 September 22, 2022 Water Quality Board Finance Committee Meeting Funding Requests

Medium

Above 2.5

Medium

High

High

High

Central Valley - Water Quality Board 20 Year Loan Static Cost Model Additional Funding Request CENTRAL VALLEY CURRENT WEIGHTED AVERAGE MAGI CALCULATION CENTRAL VALLEY FINANCIAL NEED INDICATOR CALCULATION Unemployment Population current monthly Member Entity MAGI Average Bill current % MAGI Current ERUs Member Entity Rate Poverty Rate Threshold LQI Growth Rate Cottonwood I.D. 50,400.00 0.489 36,329 Cottonwood I.D. 7.43% \$ 39,447.50 31.28% 20.00 3.58% Granger-Hunter I.D. 36,400.00 29.50 0.979 27,000 Granger-Hunter I.D. 4.20% 11.70% \$ 34,429.00 8.49% Kearns I.D. 37,300.00 39.99 1.299 20,000 Kearns I.D. 4.60% 8.60% \$ 40,896.00 4.98% 45,200.00 56.28 Murray City 1.499 9,663 Murray City 4.20% 6.30% \$ 33,595.00 5.83% Mt. Olympus I.D. 45,200.00 21.00 0.569 54,688 Mt. Olympus I.D. 4.20% 6.30% \$ 33,595.00 5.83% Taylorsville-Bennion I.D. 25,329 Taylorsville-Bennion I.D. 41,400.00 28.86 0.849 4.50% 9.80% \$ 37,209.00 2.72% Weighted Average \$42,800.59 \$27.02 0.77% Weighted Average 10,879 4.10% 8.04% \$ 35,785.06 State Values 3.60% 9.10% \$ 35,445.00 18,60% Financial Burden Matrix Score 2.25 1.00 1.00 3.00 Modified MAGI Weight 2.50 2.50 4.00 1.00 1.75% to 2.1% | 2.1% to 2.45% Above 2.45% FNI Below 1.4% 1.4% to 1.75% Weighted Score 2.5 Below 1.5 Low Medium Medium High Financial Need Indicator (Sum of weighted Scores/10) 1.7 Low High 1.5 to 2.5 Low Medium Medium High